

ON CHARGE CONSERVATION IN A GRAVITATIONAL FIELD

Mayeul Arminjon^{1,2}

¹ *Lab. 3SR (Grenoble-Alpes University & CNRS), Grenoble, France.*

² *CNRS (Section of Theoretical Physics), France.*

XIXth International Conference “Geometry, Integrability and
Quantization”

Varna, Bulgaria, 2 – 7 June 2017

- 1 MOTIVATION
- 2 MAIN EQUATIONS
- 3 WEAK FIELD APPROX.
- 4 EXAMPLE FIELDS
- 5 REASON & SOLUTION
- 6 CONCLUSION

MAIN MOTIVATIONS FOR SCALAR ETHER THEORY (SET)

(I)

Lorentz-Poincaré version of special relativity with an ether:

obtains Lorentz transfo. and “relativistic” effects as following from

- (i) “Absolute” effects of motion through that ether,
- (ii) Clock synchronization.

In it, “ $v < c$ ” is not absolute, concerns mass particles.

SET extends it to situation with gravitation.

SET makes gravity thinkable as the pressure force of the ether:

Archimedes’ thrust on extended particles seen as organized flows in the ether.

MAIN MOTIVATIONS FOR SCALAR ETHER THEORY (SET) (II)

Despite its successes, GR has problems:

- Unavoidable singularities (in gravitat^l collapse & big bang).
- Interpretation of the necessary gauge condition.
- Coupling with quantum is problematic.
- Need for dark energy. Need for dark matter.

- SET has no singularity.
- No gauge condition.
- Avoids non-uniqueness problem of covariant Dirac theory.
- Predicts accelerated expansion. Preferred-frame effects more important at large scales.

MAIN EQUATIONS OF E.M. FIELD IN SET

NB. SET has a preferred reference frame \mathcal{E} . It has also a curved spacetime metric γ . The spatial metric in frame \mathcal{E} is noted \mathbf{g} .

First Maxwell group unchanged. In terms of field tensor \mathbf{F} :

$$F_{\sigma\mu;\nu} + F_{\mu\nu;\sigma} + F_{\nu\sigma;\mu} = F_{\sigma\mu;\nu} + F_{\mu\nu;\sigma} + F_{\nu\sigma;\mu} = 0. \quad (1)$$

2nd group: SET has an eqn for continuum dynamics. Apply it to *charged medium* subjected to *Lorentz force* and assume that:

- (i) Total energy-momentum tensor $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}_{\text{charged medium}} + \mathbf{T}_{\text{field}}$.
- (ii) Total energy-momentum tensor \mathbf{T} obeys the general equation for continuum dynamics, without any non-gravitational force.

This gives $F^\mu{}_\sigma F^{\sigma\nu}{}_{;\nu} = \mu_0 [b^\mu (\mathbf{T}_{\text{field}}) - F^\mu{}_\sigma J^\sigma]$, where (2)

$$b^0(\mathbf{T}) \equiv \frac{\gamma^{00}}{2} g_{ij,0} T^{ij}, \quad b^i(\mathbf{T}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g_{jk,0} T^{0k}. \quad (3)$$

CHARGE BALANCE: EXACT EQUATIONS

If $\det \mathbf{F} \neq 0$, where $\mathbf{F} \equiv (F^\mu{}_\nu)$ (i.e. $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} \neq 0$) we get from Eq. (2):

$$\hat{\rho} \equiv J_{;\mu}^\mu = (G^\mu{}_\nu b^\nu(\mathbf{T}_{\text{field}}))_{;\mu}, \quad (G^\mu{}_\nu) \equiv (F^\mu{}_\nu)^{-1}. \quad (4)$$

Thus, charge conservation ($J_{;\mu}^\mu = 0$) is not true in general, according to Eq. (2). [\[MA, Open Physics 2016\]](#)

Let Ω be any “substantial” domain of the charged continuum. One can prove that the evolution rate of the charge contained in Ω is

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Omega} \delta q \right) = \int_{\Omega} \hat{\rho} \sqrt{-\gamma} d^3 x \quad (\gamma \equiv \det(\gamma_{\mu\nu})) \quad (5)$$

in any coordinates x^μ . ($t \equiv x^0/c$.) Of course the domain Ω as well as its boundary depend on t in general spatial coordinates x^i .

WEAK FIELD APPROXIMATION: I. GRAVITATIONAL FIELD

The *gravitational field* is assumed weak and slowly varying for the system of interest S (e.g. the Earth with some e.m. source on it).

Use an asymptotic *post-Newtonian* (PN) scheme. Associates with S a *family* (S_λ) of systems, depending on $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, $\lambda = 1/c^2$ in specific λ -dependent units. Writes Taylor expansions w.r.t. λ . E.g.

$$\beta \equiv \sqrt{\gamma_{00}} = 1 - U/c^2 + O(c^{-4}), \quad (6)$$

where $U =$ Newtonian potential, obeys Poisson eqn.

Spatial metric assumed in the theory:

$$\mathbf{g} = \beta^{-2} \mathbf{g}^0 \quad (7)$$

with $\mathbf{g}^0 =$ invariable Euclidean metric. We deduce from (6)–(7):

$$\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial T} = 2c^{-2} \partial_T U \delta_{ij} + O(c^{-4}). \quad (8)$$

(We will take Cartesian coordinates for \mathbf{g}^0 , i.e., $g_{ij}^0 = \delta_{ij}$.)

WEAK FIELD APPROXIMATION: II. E.M. FIELD & CURRENT

Assume \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{J} depend smoothly on λ , hence they too admit Taylor expansions w.r.t. c^{-2} but the orders n and m not known:

$$\mathbf{F} = c^n \left(\overset{0}{\mathbf{F}} + c^{-2} \overset{1}{\mathbf{F}} + O(c^{-4}) \right) \quad (9)$$

and

$$\mathbf{J} = c^m \left(\overset{0}{\mathbf{J}} + c^{-2} \overset{1}{\mathbf{J}} + O(c^{-4}) \right). \quad (10)$$

The integers n and m can be positive, negative, or zero.
Remind: $\lambda = 1/c^2 =$ gravitational weak-field parameter.

Also, \mathbf{F} not assumed slowly varying (nor weak). Means expansions (9)–(10) are *post-Minkowskian* (PM) expansions.

EXPANSION OF THE MODIFIED MAXWELL 2ND GROUP (I)

For the PM expansions (9)-(10), the time variable (such that the expansions are true at a fixed value of it) is $x^0 = cT$, not T as it is for PN expansions. (Not neutral since $c^2 = \lambda^{-1}$.)

Hence, in the modified 2nd group (2), the term $F^{\sigma\nu}{}_{;\nu}$ is of order c^n as is the term $F^\mu{}_\sigma$.

One thus finds that the r.h.s. of (2) is of order c^{2n} . The l.h.s. is of order c^{n+m+2} , for $\mu_0 = \mu_{00}c^2$ (from dimension and λ -dependent units).

Hence we must have

$$2n = n + m + 2, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad m = n - 2. \quad (11)$$

EXPANSION OF THE MODIFIED MAXWELL 2ND GROUP (II)

Using the foregoing, one gets the lowest-order term in the weak-field expansion of (2) as

$${}^0 F^{\mu}{}_{\sigma} {}^0 F^{\sigma\nu}{}_{,\nu} = -\mu_{00} {}^0 F^{\mu}{}_{\sigma} {}^0 J^{\sigma}. \quad (12)$$

Thus if ${}^0 \mathbf{F} \equiv ({}^0 F^{\sigma}{}_{\nu})$ is invertible, ${}^0 \mathbf{F}$ is an exact solution of the flat-spacetime Maxwell equation, ${}^0 F^{\sigma\nu}{}_{,\nu} = -\mu_{00} {}^0 J^{\sigma}$.

EXPANSION OF THE CHARGE PRODUCTION RATE

Using (9) and (8) in (4) gives us

$$\hat{\rho} = c^{n-5} \mu_{00}^{-1} \left[\left(\overset{0}{G}{}^{\mu 0} \overset{0}{T}{}^{jj} - \overset{0}{G}{}^{\mu i} \overset{0}{T}{}^{0i} \right) \partial_T U \right]_{,\mu} + O(c^{n-7}), \quad (13)$$

where $\overset{0}{\mathbf{G}} \equiv (\overset{0}{G}{}^{\mu\nu}) \equiv \overset{0}{\mathbf{F}}^{-1}$. Due to (9)-(10), $\overset{0}{\mathbf{F}}$, $\overset{0}{\mathbf{G}}$, $\overset{0}{\mathbf{T}}$ and $\overset{0}{\mathbf{J}}$ do not have the physical dimensions of the corresponding fields \mathbf{F} , \mathbf{G} , ...

Let \mathbf{F}' and \mathbf{J}' be solutions of the flat-spacetime Maxwell equation with the correct dimensions in the SI units:

$$F'^{\sigma\nu}{}_{,\nu} = -\mu_0 J'^{\sigma}. \quad (14)$$

Define the associated e.m. T-tensor \mathbf{T}' . Assume matrix $\mathbf{F}' \equiv (F'^{\sigma}{}_{\nu})$ is invertible. Define $\mathbf{G}' \equiv \mathbf{F}'^{-1}$. Eq. (13) rewrites as

$$\hat{\rho} = c^{-3} \left[(G'^{\mu 0} T'^{jj} - G'^{\mu i} T'^{0i}) \partial_T U \right]_{,\mu} + O(c^{-5}). \quad (15)$$

EXPLICIT EXPRESSION OF CHARGE PRODUCTION RATE

To use (15) so as to assess the charge production: *conversely*, assume that to any solution $(\mathbf{F}', \mathbf{J}')$ of the full flat Maxwell, it corresponds a unique solution (\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{J}) of the first group (1) and the gravitationally-modified second group (2), such that $(\mathbf{F}', \mathbf{J}')$ be the main terms in the PM expansion of (\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{J}) . Expectable from perturbative arguments.

Expressing \mathbf{F} in terms of electric and magnetic fields \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} we rewrite (15) as

$$\hat{\rho} = c^{-3} (e^i \partial_T U)_{,i} + O(c^{-5}), \quad (16)$$

$$e^i = \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{B_1^3 c^2 + B_1 B_2^2 c^2 + B_1 B_3^2 c^2 + B_1 E_1^2 - B_1 E_2^2 - B_1 E_3^2 + 2 B_2 E_1 E_2 + 2 B_3 E_1 E_3}{2 c \mu_0 (B_1 E_1 + B_2 E_2 + B_3 E_3)} \\ \frac{B_1^2 B_2 c^2 + 2 B_1 E_1 E_2 + B_2^3 c^2 + B_2 B_3^2 c^2 - B_2 E_1^2 + B_2 E_2^2 - B_2 E_3^2 + 2 B_3 E_2 E_3}{2 c \mu_0 (B_1 E_1 + B_2 E_2 + B_3 E_3)} \\ \frac{B_1^2 B_3 c^2 + 2 B_1 E_1 E_3 + B_2^2 B_3 c^2 + 2 B_2 E_2 E_3 + B_3^3 c^2 - B_3 E_1^2 - B_3 E_2^2 + B_3 E_3^2}{2 c \mu_0 (B_1 E_1 + B_2 E_2 + B_3 E_3)} \end{array} \right). \quad (17)$$

ASSESSING $\partial_T U$ AND $\partial_T(\nabla U)$ (I)

These time derivatives must be evaluated in the preferred reference frame \mathcal{E} assumed by the theory.

The system of interest producing the e.m. field should move through \mathcal{E} : velocity field \mathbf{v} with $|\mathbf{v}| \simeq 10 - 1000 \text{ km/s}$?

We have $dU/dT \equiv \partial_T U + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla U = 0$ exactly for self potential of a body with rigid motion (e.g. the Earth).

(For the Earth, the external potential due to the Sun is nearly constant also. The most important departure from $dU/dT = 0$ should come from the Moon.)

For a rigidly rotating *spherical* body, $\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla U = \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla U$, $\mathbf{V} \equiv \dot{\mathbf{a}}$.
 $\mathbf{a}(T)$: body center.

ASSESSING $\partial_T U$ AND $\partial_T(\nabla U)$ (II)

\Rightarrow Main contribution to $\partial_T U$: translation motion of a nearly spherically symmetric body through \mathcal{E} :

$$\partial_T U \simeq -\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla U \simeq \frac{GM(r)}{r^2} \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{e}_r, \quad r \equiv |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}(T)|, \quad \mathbf{e}_r \equiv (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}(T))/r, \quad (18)$$

$$M(r) \equiv 4\pi \int_0^r u^2 \rho(u) \, du; \quad \rho(r) : \text{Newtonian density.}$$

On the Earth's surface, this gives

$$\partial_T U \simeq gV_r \leq 10V \simeq 10^5 \text{ (MKSA)} \quad \text{for} \quad V = 10 \text{ km/s.}$$

If moreover the rotating spherical body is homogeneous, we have

$$\partial_T \nabla U = \frac{GM(r)}{r^3} \mathbf{V}. \quad (19)$$

On Earth:

$$\partial_T \nabla U \simeq g\mathbf{V}/R, \quad |\partial_T \nabla U| \simeq 10^{-2} \text{ MKSA}, \quad V = 10 \text{ km/s.}$$

CASE OF A PLANE WAVE

A monochromatic plane e.m. wave in direction $\mathbf{i} \parallel O_x$:

$$E^1 = 0, \quad E^i = E_0^i \cos(kx - \omega T + \varphi_i) \quad (i = 2, 3), \quad c\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{i} \wedge \mathbf{E}. \quad (20)$$

Then of course field matrix $\mathbf{F} \equiv (F^\mu{}_\nu)$ not invertible. But may add any constant e.m. field $(\mathbf{E}', \mathbf{B}')$. Then generically \mathbf{F} is invertible.

Moreover, e^i [Eq. (16)] has $e^i_{,j} = 0$, for $e^1 = 0$ and $e^i = e^i(x^1)$.

Neglecting the term $c^{-3}e^i(\partial_T U)_{,i}$ in view of (19), we get that

$$\hat{\rho} = 0 \quad (\text{Plane wave, } c^{-3}e^i(\partial_T U)_{,i} \text{ neglected}). \quad (21)$$

However, depending on the constant e.m. field, the neglected term may give high values of $\hat{\rho}$. (Check the case without the wave part.)

THE CASE WITH HERTZIAN DIPOLES

Hertz's oscillating dipole: the charge *distribution*

$$\rho = T_{\mathbf{d},\mathbf{b},\omega} \equiv -e^{-i\omega t} \mathbf{d} \cdot \nabla \delta_{\mathbf{b}} \quad (22)$$

(\mathbf{b} = dipole position, \mathbf{d} = dipole vector). Associated 3-current:

$$\mathbf{j} = -i\omega \mathbf{d} e^{-i\omega t} \delta_{\mathbf{b}}. \quad (23)$$

Exact solution of the flat Maxwell eqs in distributional sense:

$$\mathbf{E} = \alpha \left\{ \frac{k^2}{r} (\mathbf{d} - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{d}) \mathbf{n}) \cos \varphi + [3(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{d}) \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{d}] \left(\frac{\cos \varphi}{r^3} + \frac{k \sin \varphi}{r^2} \right) \right\}, \quad (24)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \beta k^2 (\mathbf{n} \wedge \mathbf{d}) \left(\frac{\cos \varphi}{r} - \frac{\sin \varphi}{kr^2} \right), \quad k = \frac{\omega}{c}, \quad \varphi \equiv kr - \omega t. \quad (25)$$

$$(\alpha \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} = 9 \times 10^9, \quad \beta \equiv \frac{c}{4\pi} \simeq 2.39 \times 10^7 \text{ (MKSA).})$$

Has $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$. Adding dipoles with different \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{d} gives $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} \neq 0$.

CASE OF A GROUP OF HERTZIAN DIPOLES

- ◇ The dipoles are at rest in a common frame moving at \mathbf{V} w.r.t. \mathcal{E} .
- ◇ Their e.m. field is Lorentz-transformed to \mathcal{E} .
- ◇ In view of (16), compute

$$\hat{\rho}(T, \mathbf{x}) = c^{-3} (e^i \partial_T U)_{,i} \approx c^{-3} \int_{\partial C} e^i n_i \partial_T U \, dS / v(C), \quad (26)$$

with C a small cube moving at \mathbf{V} , centered at calculation point \mathbf{x} .

- ◇ For three dipoles with $d = 100 \text{ nC.m}$, $\nu = 100 \text{ MHz}$ ($\sigma = 3 \text{ m}$), situated at $\lesssim \sigma$ from one another, get fields $E \lesssim$ a few 10^5 V/m , $B \lesssim 15 \text{ T}$.

- ◇ with $V = 10 \text{ km/s}$, $\hat{\rho}(T, \mathbf{x})$ has peaks at $\approx \pm 2 \times 10^8 \text{ e/m}^3/\text{period}$. Seems untenable!

\Rightarrow This version of the gravitationally-modified Maxwell equations looks like being discarded.

WHY WERE THESE NOT THE RIGHT MAXWELL EQS OF THE THEORY?

Dynamical eqn in SET for general continuous medium (velocity field \mathbf{v}) subjected to external force density field \mathbf{f} :

$$T_{\text{medium}}^{0\nu}{}_{;\nu} = b^0(\mathbf{T}_{\text{medium}}) + \frac{\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c\beta}, \quad T_{\text{medium}}^{i\nu}{}_{;\nu} = b^i(\mathbf{T}_{\text{medium}}) + f^i. \quad (27)$$

Assumption (i): total $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}_{\text{charged medium}} + \mathbf{T}_{\text{field}}$.

Assumption (ii): $T^{0\nu}{}_{;\nu} = b^0(\mathbf{T})$, $T^{i\nu}{}_{;\nu} = b^i(\mathbf{T})$.

(i) + (ii) + (27) with “medium” = “charged medium” gives:

$$T_{\text{field}}^{0\nu}{}_{;\nu} = b^0(\mathbf{T}_{\text{field}}) - \frac{\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c\beta}, \quad T_{\text{field}}^{i\nu}{}_{;\nu} = b^i(\mathbf{T}_{\text{field}}) - f^i. \quad (28)$$

This has the form (27), with $f_{\text{field}}^i = -f_{\text{charged medium}}^i$ and $\mathbf{v}_{\text{field}} = \mathbf{v}_{\text{charged medium}} \equiv \mathbf{v}$. But $\mathbf{v}_{\text{field}} \neq \mathbf{v}_{\text{charged medium}}$!

WHAT ARE THE RIGHT MAXWELL EQS OF THE THEORY?

The assumption to be relaxed is (i): the problem with \mathbf{v} is solved if there is an interaction energy-momentum tensor $\mathbf{T}_{\text{interact}}$ such that

$$\text{total } \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}_{\text{charged medium}} + \mathbf{T}_{\text{field}} + \mathbf{T}_{\text{interact}}. \quad (29)$$

With (29), Assumption (ii) and (27) do not determine the 2nd group any more.

May postulate the *standard* gravitationally-modified second group:

$$F^{\sigma\nu}{}_{;\nu} = -\mu_0 J^\sigma, \quad (30)$$

which, one may show, is writing almost the usual (3-vector-form) 2nd group in terms of the local time and the space metric in frame \mathcal{E} .

CONCLUSION

Maxwell eqs for the “scalar ether theory” of gravity (SET) were proposed. Predict charge non-conservation in a variable gravitational field.

This occurs already for a translation through SET’s “ether”.

Using asymptotic PN (respectively PM) expansions for the gravitational field (resp. the e.m. field), an explicit expression for the charge production rate $\hat{\rho}$ was obtained.

For a group of Hertz dipoles producing moderate e.m. field (& with a moderate translation velocity $V = 10 \text{ km/s}$), $\hat{\rho}$ seems unrealistically high.

Actually: those Maxwell eqs are not consistent with continuum dynamics of SET applied to *the e.m. field itself*. Must assume an additional, “interaction”, energy tensor. Then the standard gravitationally-modified Maxwell eqs are consistent with SET.