

# Reference frames in a general spacetime and the notion of space

**Mayeul Arminjon**<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *CNRS (Section of Theoretical Physics)*

<sup>2</sup> *Lab. "Soils, Solids, Structures, Risks", 3SR*

*(CNRS & Grenoble Universities), Grenoble, France.*

3<sup>rd</sup> International Conference on Theoretical Physics

"Theoretical Physics and its Applications"

Moscow State Open University, June 24-28, 2013

## Motivation and State of the Art

- ▶ A reference frame: essentially *a three-dimensional network of observers equipped with clocks and meters.*
- ▶  $\exists$  an *associated space* in which the observers are at rest.
- ▶ Clearly, both are fundamental notions for physics!
- ▶ In Newtonian physics, consideration is usually (not always) restricted to *rigid* reference frames (w.r.t. Euclidean metric).
- ▶ In special relativity also: there one considers mainly the *inertial frames*. Each of them is rigid w.r.t. the *spatial metric*.

## Motivation and State of the Art (continued)

- ▶ Relativistic theories of gravitation: the metric is a field, i.e. it depends on the spacetime position.
- ▶ Hence, rigid reference frames are not relevant any more.
- ▶ Relevant notion: *reference fluid*. The 3D network is defined by a *time-like vector field*  $v$  on spacetime (Cattaneo 1958, Massa 1974, Mitskievich 1996):  $v =$  unit tangent vector field to the world lines of the points belonging to the network.
- ▶ For Landau & Lifshitz (1951) and Møller (1952), a *coordinate system* (or *chart*) defined a reference frame. The link with the definition by a 4-velocity vector field  $v$  was done by Cattaneo, 1958:

## Motivation and State of the Art (continued)

- ▶ Namely, any admissible chart on the spacetime,  $\chi : X \mapsto (x^\mu)$  ( $\mu = 0, \dots, 3$ ), defines a unique reference fluid, given by its four-velocity field  $v$ : the components of  $v$  in the chart  $\chi$  are

$$v^0 \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{00}}}, \quad v^j = 0 \quad (j = 1, 2, 3). \quad (1)$$

The vector (1) is invariant under the “internal changes”

$$x'^0 = \phi((x^\mu)), \quad x'^k = \phi^k((x^j)) \quad (j, k = 1, 2, 3). \quad (2)$$

- ▶ This is valid only within the domain of definition of the chart  $\chi$  — an open subset  $U$  of the whole spacetime manifold  $V$ .

## Motivation and State of the Art (end)

- ▶ Moreover, the notion of the *space associated* with a reference fluid/network was missing in that context. Only a notion of a “spatial tensor” had been defined (Massa 1974, Jantzen-Carini-Bini 1992):

Namely, a spatial tensor at  $X \in V$  was defined as a *spacetime tensor* which equals its projection onto the hyperplane  $H_X \equiv v(X)^\perp$ .

- ▶ A number of time derivatives along a trajectory can then be introduced. Difficult to choose among them.

## Need for a better definition of a reference frame

- ▶ Defining a “reference fluid” through its 4-velocity field is correct but unpractical.
- ▶ Fixing a “reference frame” by the data of a chart is practical but:

What is physical here? Is there an associated space? What if we change the chart?

## A sketch of the definition of a space manifold

- ▶ The 3D space manifold  $\mathbf{N}$  associated with a reference fluid  $\mathcal{F}$  (network of observers) was introduced as the set of the world lines of the points of the network (MA 1996).
- ▶ Thus an *element* (point) of  $\mathbf{N}$  is a *line* of the spacetime manifold  $\mathbf{V}$ . Spatial tensor fields were defined simply as tensor fields on the spatial manifold  $\mathbf{N}$ .
- ▶ “Sketch” at that time: because the network, hence also  $\mathbf{N}$ , was defined “physically” and it was not proved that  $\mathbf{N}$  is indeed a differentiable manifold.

## Applications of that notion of a space manifold

- ▶ It was noted that the spatial metric defined in Landau & Lifshitz (1951) and in Møller (1952) endows this manifold  $N$  with a time-dependent Riemannian metric, thus with a one-parameter family of metrics.
- ▶ Then, just one time derivative along a trajectory appears naturally (MA 1996). This allowed us to unambiguously define *Newton's second law* in a general spacetime.

## A rigorous and practical definition of a reference frame

- ▶ One may define a reference frame as being an *equivalence class of charts* which
  - are all defined on a given open subspace  $\mathcal{U}$  of the spacetime  $\mathcal{V}$ ;
  - *and* are related 2-by-2 by a *purely spatial* coordinate change:

$$x'^0 = x^0, \quad x'^k = \phi^k((x^j)). \quad (3)$$

- ▶ This does define an equivalence relation (MA & F Reifler 2011a)

## A definition of a reference frame (continued)

- ▶ Thus a reference frame  $\mathbf{F}$ , i.e. an equivalence class for this relation, can indeed be given by the data of one chart  $\chi : X \mapsto (x^\mu)$  with its domain of definition  $\mathbf{U}$  (an open subset of the spacetime manifold  $\mathbf{V}$ ):

Namely,  $\mathbf{F}$  is the equivalence class of  $(\chi, \mathbf{U})$ .

i.e.,  $\mathbf{F}$  is the set of the charts  $\chi'$

- which are defined on  $\mathbf{U}$
- and such that the transition map  $f \equiv \chi' \circ \chi^{-1} \equiv (\phi^\mu)$  corresponds with a purely spatial coordinate change:  
$$x'^0 = x^0, \quad x'^k = \phi^k((x^j)).$$

## The associated space manifold

- ▶ The physics in the former definition: any world line

$$x^j = \text{Constant } (j = 1, 2, 3), x^0 \text{ variable} \quad (4)$$

is that of an observer.

The corresponding 4-velocity field is given by (1).

This is invariant under the “internal changes” (2).

⇒ a fortiori invariant under the purely spatial coordinate changes (3).

The space manifold  $M = M_F$  is the set of the world lines (4).

- ▶ In detail: let  $P_S : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\mathbf{X} \equiv (x^\mu) \mapsto \mathbf{x} \equiv (x^j)$ , be the spatial projection.

A world line  $l$  is an element of  $\mathbf{M}_F$  iff there is a chart  $\chi \in F$  and a triplet  $\mathbf{x} \equiv (x^j) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , such that  $l$  is the set of *all* points  $X$  in the domain  $U$ , whose spatial coordinates are  $\mathbf{x}$ :

$$l = \{ X \in U; P_S(\chi(X)) = \mathbf{x} \}. \quad (5)$$

## $M_F$ is a differentiable manifold: sketch of the proof

- ▶ Let a chart  $\chi \in F$ . With any world line  $l \in M_F$ , let us associate the triplet  $\mathbf{x} \equiv (x^j)$  made with the *constant* spatial coordinates of the points  $X \in l$ :

$$\tilde{\chi} : M_F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3, \quad l \mapsto \mathbf{x} \text{ such that } \forall X \in l, \chi^j(X) = x^j \quad (j = 1, 2, 3). \quad (6)$$

- ▶ Through Eq. (5), the world line  $l \in M_F$  is determined uniquely by the data  $\mathbf{x}$ . I.e., the mapping  $\tilde{\chi}$  is one-to-one.
- ▶ One then shows that the set of the mappings  $\tilde{\chi}$  defines a structure of differentiable manifold on  $M_F$ :  
*The spatial part of any chart  $\chi \in F$  defines a chart  $\tilde{\chi}$  on  $M_F$ .*

## Applications of this result

- ▶ A Hamiltonian operator of relativistic QM depends *precisely* (MA & F Reifler 2010) on the reference frame  $F$  as just defined here. The Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  of quantum-mechanical states is the set of the square-integrable functions defined on the associated *space manifold*  $M_F$  (MA & F Reifler 2011b).

Prior to this definition,  $\mathcal{H}$  depended on the particular spatial coordinate system. This does not seem acceptable.

- ▶ The full algebra of spatial tensors can be defined in a simple way: a spatial tensor field is simply a tensor field on the space manifold  $M_F$  associated with a reference frame  $F$ . E.g., the *rotation rate of a spatial triad* (MA 2011).

## Questions left open by that result

- ▶ These definitions of a reference frame and the associated space manifold apply to a domain  $U$  of  $V$ , such that at least one regular chart can be defined over the whole of  $U$ . Thus these are *local* definitions. Whence the questions:
- ▶ Can the definition of a reference fluid by the data of a global four-velocity field  $v$  lead to a global notion of space? If yes, what is the link with the former local notions?

## The global space manifold $N_v$ associated with a non-vanishing vector field $v$

- ▶ Given a global vector field  $v$  on the manifold  $V$  and  $X \in V$ , let  $C_X$  be the solution of

$$\frac{dC}{ds} = v(C(s)), \quad C(0) = X \quad (7)$$

that is defined on the *largest possible* open interval  $I_X$  containing  $X$ . Call the *range*  $l_X \equiv C_X(I_X) \subset V$  the “maximal integral line at  $X$ ”. If  $X' \in l_X$ , then  $l_{X'} = l_X$ .

- ▶ We define  $N_v$  as the set of the maximal integral lines of  $v$ :

$$N_v \equiv \{l_X; X \in V\}. \quad (8)$$

## Local existence of adapted charts

- ▶ A chart  $\chi$  with domain  $U \subset V$  is said “ $v$ -adapted” iff the spatial coordinates remain constant on any integral line  $l$  of  $v$  — more precisely, remain constant on  $l \cap U$ :

$$\exists \mathbf{x} \equiv (x^j) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \forall X \in l \cap U, \quad P_S(\chi(X)) = \mathbf{x}. \quad (9)$$

- ▶ For any  $v$ -adapted chart  $\chi$ , the mapping

$$\bar{\chi} : l \mapsto \mathbf{x} \text{ such that (9) is verified} \quad (10)$$

is well defined on  $D_U \equiv \{l \in N_v; l \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$ . Call the  $v$ -adapted chart  $\chi$  “nice” if the mapping  $\bar{\chi}$  is one-to-one.

- ▶ **Theorem 1.** *Assume the global vector field  $v$  on  $V$  is non-vanishing. Then (under some reasonable technical assumption regarding the flow of the field  $v$ ), for any point  $X \in V$ , there exists a nice  $v$ -adapted chart  $\chi$  whose domain is an open neighborhood of  $X$ .*

## Manifold structure of the global set $N_v$

- ▶ Main thing to prove is compatibility of any two charts  $\bar{\chi}, \bar{\chi}'$  on  $N_v$ , associated with two adapted charts  $\chi, \chi'$  on  $V$ .
- ▶ In the case of the space manifold  $M_F$  associated with a local reference frame  $F$ , the compatibility of two associated charts  $\tilde{\chi}$  and  $\tilde{\chi}'$  on  $M_F$  was  $\simeq$  easy to prove: any world line  $l \in M_F$  is included in the common domain  $U$  of any two charts  $\chi, \chi' \in F$ . It follows that  $\tilde{\chi}' \circ \tilde{\chi}^{-1} = (\phi^k)$ .
- ▶ In contrast, in the present case, two adapted charts  $\chi$  and  $\chi'$  have different domains  $U$  and  $U'$  and we may have

$$U \cap U' = \emptyset, \quad l \cap U \neq \emptyset, \quad l \cap U' \neq \emptyset, \quad (11)$$

so the charts  $\chi$  and  $\chi'$  don't compose, but  $\bar{\chi}$  and  $\bar{\chi}'$  do.

## Manifold structure of the global set $N_v$ (continued)

- ▶ Solution: Consider  $\mathbf{x} \in \bar{\chi}(D_U)$ , thus  $\exists l \in N_v$  and  $\exists X \in l \cap U$ :  $\mathbf{x} = \bar{\chi}(l) = P_S(\chi(X))$ . Let  $\chi(X) = (t, \mathbf{x})$ . We use the flow of the vector field  $v$  to associate smoothly with any point  $Y$  in some neighborhood  $W \subset U$  of  $X$ , a point  $g(Y) \in U'$ .

- ▶ Then we may write for  $\mathbf{y}$  in a neighborhood of  $\mathbf{x}$ :

$$(\bar{\chi}' \circ \bar{\chi}^{-1})(\mathbf{y}) = P_S(\chi'(g(\chi^{-1}(t, \mathbf{y})))), \quad (12)$$

showing the smoothness of  $\bar{\chi}' \circ \bar{\chi}^{-1}$ .

- ▶ It follows that the maps  $\bar{\chi}$  deduced from the adapted charts  $\chi$  build an atlas on  $N_v$ , making it a differentiable manifold.

## The local manifold $M_F$ is a submanifold of $N_v$

- ▶ Let  $v$  be a non-vanishing vector field on  $V$ , and let  $F$  be a reference frame made of nice  $v$ -adapted charts, all defined on the same open set  $U \subset V$ .
- ▶ Let  $l \in M_F$ , thus there is some chart  $\chi \in F$  and some  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $l = \{ X \in U; P_S(\chi(X)) = \mathbf{x} \}$ .  
Then for any  $X \in l$  we have  $l' \equiv l_X \in N_v$  and  $l = l' \cap U$ .  
The mapping  $I : M_F \rightarrow N_v, l \mapsto l'$  is an immersion.
- ▶ We may identify:  $M_F \simeq I(M_F) \subset N_v$ . That is:  
The local space associated with a (local) reference frame is part of the global space associated with a (global) reference fluid.

## Conclusion

- ▶ Defining a reference fluid from its 4-velocity field has been done since a long time but is not very tractable by itself.
- ▶ Defining a reference frame as a class of charts exchanging by spatial coordinate change: both practical and correct.
- ▶ Associated space with given ref. fluid *or* given ref. frame: needed to define classical trajectories and quantum space of states. A precise notion did not exist. Here defined from the charts adapted to the ref. fluid or frame.
- ▶ Adapted charts with a common domain → “local” space. This is a part of a global space associated with the ref. fluid.

# References

- (Cattaneo 1958) C. Cattaneo, “General relativity: relative standard mass, momentum, energy and gravitational field in a general system of reference,” *il Nuovo Cimento* **10**, 318–337 (1958).
- (Massa 1974) E. Massa, a) “Space tensors in general relativity. I. Spatial tensor algebra and analysis,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **5**, 555–572 (1974); b) “Space tensors in general relativity. II. Physical applications,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **5**, 573–591 (1974).
- (Mitskievich 1996) N. V. Mitskievich, *Relativistic physics in arbitrary reference frames* (Nova Science Publishers, Hauppauge, NY, 2007). (arXiv:gr-qc/9606051v1)
- (Jantzen-Carini-Bini 1992) R. T. Jantzen, P. Carini, and D. Bini, “The many faces of gravitoelectromagnetism,” *Ann. Phys. (New York)* **215**, 1–50 (1992). (arXiv:gr-qc/0106043)
- (MA 1996) M. Arminjon, “On the extension of Newton’s second law to

theories of gravitation in curved space-time," *Arch. Mech.* **48**, 551–576 (1996). (arXiv:gr-qc/0609051)

(MA & F Reifler 2011a) M. Arminjon and F. Reifler, "General reference frames and their associated space manifolds," *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **8**, 155–165 (2011). (arXiv:1003.3521 (gr-qc))

(MA & F Reifler 2010) M. Arminjon and F. Reifler, "Basic quantum mechanics for three Dirac equations in a curved spacetime," *Braz. J. Phys.* **40**, 242–255 (2010). (arXiv:0807.0570 (gr-qc)).

(MA & F Reifler 2011b) M. Arminjon and F. Reifler, "A non-uniqueness problem of the Dirac theory in a curved spacetime," *Ann. Phys. (Berlin)* **523**, 531–551 (2011). (arXiv:0905.3686 (gr-qc)).

(MA 2011) M. Arminjon, "A solution of the non-uniqueness problem of the Dirac Hamiltonian and energy operators," *Ann. Phys. (Berlin)* **523**, 1008–1028 (2011). (Pre-peer-review version: arXiv:1107.4556 (gr-qc)).